Sample Student Growth Objective Form



Name	School	Grade	Course/Subject	Number of Students	Interval of Instruction	
		5	Language Arts		Sept 2018 - March 2019	
Name the content sta subject, other acader method.		the rationale			or the next level of the format of the assessment	
Reading Literature						
RL.5.1. Quote accura when drawing infere		ake relevant c	onnections when exp	laining what the	e text says explicitly and	
RL.5.2. Determine the	e key details in a story,	drama or poe	m to identify the ther	me and to summ	narize the text.	
RL.5.3 Compare and o the text (e.g., how ch		haracters, set	tings, or events in a st	ory or drama, d	rawing on specific details i	
RL.5.4. Determine the metaphors and simile	e meaning of words an es.	d phrases as tl	hey are used in a text	, including figura	ative language such as	
Reading Information	al					
RI.5.1. Quote accurat when drawing infere		ke relevant co	nnections when expl	aining what the	text says explicitly and	
RI.5.2. Determine two text.	o or more main ideas c	of a text and ex	xplain how they are su	upported by key	details; summarize the	
	ationships or interactio or technical text based			s, events, ideas,	or concepts in a	
RI.5.4. Determine the 5 topic or subject are		cademic and d	omain-specific words	and phrases in	a text relevant to a grade	
Language						
	larify the meaning of u g flexibly from a range		nultiple-meaning wor	ds and phrases	based on grade 5 reading	
L.5.5. Demonstrate u	nderstanding of figura	tive language,	word relationships, a	nd nuances in w	vord meanings.	
L.5.6. Acquire and use accurately grade-appropriate general academic and domain-specific words and phrases, including those that signal contrast, addition, and other logical relationships (e.g., however, although, nevertheless, similarly, moreover, in addition).						
in 5 th grade. They rep analysis of evidence a	present the skills neces	sary for cross- New Jersey Stu	curricular success. Cl udents Learning Stanc	ose reading of c lards for English	critical for student success complex text and careful Language Arts. These are xt and analysis of text	

Assessment Method: Levels are determined based on 3 information points. (4 th Grade Final Grade, 4 th Grade PARCC Data,
5 th Grade SRI scores in September) 4 th Grade final grade will be 25%; 4 th grade PARCC data will be 25%; 5 th grade SRI scores
for September will be 50%. The third semester SRI will be used for the target score.

Preparedness	Informat	Information #1		Inf	ormation #3
Levels		Final Grade (4 th Grade)			ptember-SRI (5 th Grade)
1	0-6		(4 th Grade) 650-724		BR-619
2	70-7	79	725-749		620-829
3	80-8	750-802			830-1010
4	90-1	00	803-850		1011+
	udents will meet the t se they reflect achieve				rigorous and
	Preparedness Level	s	Target Score on Sl	RI Assessment	
Individual	Preparedness Level	S	Target Score on SI See Table 1	RI Assessment	
Scoring Plan State the projecte	Preparedness Level ed scores for each grou Modify the table as n	up and what percent eeded.	See Table 1	nts will meet this tar	
Scoring Plan State the projecte attainment level.	ed scores for each grou	up and what percent eeded. Teacher SGO S Exceptional (4)	See Table 1 age/number of stude core Based on Percer Full (3)	nts will meet this targ nt of Students Achievi Partial (2)	ing Target Score
attainment level. Student 1	ed scores for each grou Modify the table as n Farget Score	up and what percent eeded. Teacher SGO S	See Table 1 age/number of stude	nts will meet this targ	ing Target Score
Scoring Plan State the projecte attainment level. Student T Individual Approval of Stude	ed scores for each grou Modify the table as n Farget Score (See table 2) ent Growth Objective	up and what percent eeded. Teacher SGO S Exceptional (4) >75%	See Table 1 See Table 1 See Table 1 See Table 1 Secore Based on Percer Full (3) 75%-70%	ents will meet this targ nt of Students Achievi Partial (2) 69%-65%	ing Target Score
Scoring Plan State the projecte attainment level. Student T Individual Approval of Stude Administrator app	ed scores for each grou Modify the table as n Farget Score (See table 2) ent Growth Objective proves scoring plan an	up and what percent eeded. Teacher SGO S Exceptional (4) >75%	See Table 1 age/number of stude core Based on Percer Full (3) 75%-70%	nts will meet this targ nt of Students Achievi Partial (2) 69%-65% arning.	ing Target Score Insufficient (1) <65%
Scoring Plan State the projecte attainment level. Student T Individual Approval of Stude	ed scores for each grou Modify the table as n Farget Score (See table 2) ent Growth Objective proves scoring plan an	up and what percent eeded. Teacher SGO S Exceptional (4) >75%	See Table 1 age/number of stude core Based on Percer Full (3) 75%-70%	ents will meet this targ nt of Students Achievi Partial (2) 69%-65%	ing Target Score Insufficient (1) <65%
Scoring Plan State the projecte attainment level. Student T Individual Approval of Stude Administrator app Teacher	ed scores for each grou Modify the table as n Farget Score (See table 2) ent Growth Objective proves scoring plan an Signate	up and what percent eeded. Teacher SGO S Exceptional (4) >75%	See Table 1 See Table 1 See Table 1 See Table 1 Secore Based on Percer Full (3) 75%-70% O measure student le	nts will meet this targ nt of Students Achievi Partial (2) 69%-65% arning.	ing Target Score Insufficient (1) <65%
Scoring Plan State the projecte attainment level. Student T Individual Approval of Stude Administrator app Teacher Evaluator	ed scores for each grou Modify the table as n Farget Score (See table 2) ent Growth Objective proves scoring plan an Signatu Sign t Growth Objective	up and what percent eeded. Teacher SGO S Exceptional (4) >75% d assessment used t ure nature	See Table 1 See Table 1 See Table 1 See Table 1 Secore Based on Percer Full (3) 75%-70% O measure student le	nts will meet this targ nt of Students Achievi Partial (2) 69%-65% arning. Date Submitted Date Approved	ing Target Score Insufficient (1) <65%
Scoring Plan State the projecte attainment level. Student T Individual Approval of Stude Administrator app Teacher Evaluator	ed scores for each grou Modify the table as n Farget Score (See table 2) ent Growth Objective proves scoring plan an Signate Sign	up and what percent eeded. Teacher SGO S Exceptional (4) >75% d assessment used t ure nature	See Table 1 See Table 1 See Table 1 See Table 1 Secore Based on Percer Full (3) 75%-70% O measure student le	nts will meet this targ nt of Students Achievi Partial (2) 69%-65% arning. Date Submitted Date Approved	ing Target Score Insufficient (1) <65%

Review SGO at Annual Conference						
Describe successes and challenges, lessons learned from SGO about teaching and student learning, and steps to improve						
SGOs for next year.	SGOs for next year.					
Teacher	Signature	Date				
Evaluator	Signature	Date				

Table 1

Student Number	Fall SRI Score	Target Growth
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		

Table 2

Student Number	Fall SRI Score	SRI Spring	Target Growth	Actual Growth
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				